

BEYOND THE FORECAST

Leadership for the Storm Nobody Forecast

A Practitioner's Perspective on Leadership Under Radical Uncertainty



Giovanni Leonardi

January 2008

Leadership for the Storm Nobody Forecast

Leadership · No. 47

Originally written January 2008 | Re-edited and re-rendered for the WhereBeyond Edition, 2025

“A leader's authority no longer rests on knowing the answer; it rests on being trusted to act well before the answer arrives.”

The Model We Were Handed

Every executive now steering an organisation through this winter was trained for a different climate. The models most of us absorbed — in business schools, on graduate schemes, across the long and forgiving expansion of the past decade and a half — assumed a world that behaved. They assumed that the future was essentially a forecast, that planning was the highest discipline, that a leader's authority rested on knowing the answer before anyone else did.

That world changed sometime last August, when the money markets seized and lending between banks quietly stopped. It changed again in the autumn, when depositors queued around the block outside a high-street lender — a scene most of us had only read about in histories. It changed once more a fortnight ago, when a single day's selling ran through the world's exchanges, and again days later when the central bank cut rates in an emergency move between its scheduled meetings. The pattern is unmistakable to anyone paying attention: the ground has stopped holding still.

The leaders I watch struggling most today are not the incompetent ones. They are often the most polished — the ones executing, faithfully and well, a model of leadership that no longer fits the weather. This is a short argument for abandoning that model before it does more damage.

Four Comfortable Lies

The orthodoxy we inherited rests on four assumptions that felt like wisdom in calm conditions and have become liabilities in these.

- That planning is prophecy. We were taught to treat the annual plan as a commitment to a known future. But a plan built on last year's assumptions is now a map of a country that no longer exists. Organisations are still holding review meetings that solemnly measure progress against numbers everyone in the room privately knows are fiction.
- That consensus is safety. We were taught that the careful leader builds agreement before acting, that the broadest coalition is the soundest one. Under radical uncertainty, consensus is simply the slowest available way to be wrong together. The time it takes to manufacture agreement is time the situation does not grant.
- That confidence is competence. We were taught to project certainty, to never be seen not knowing. So leaders now perform a composure they do not feel, and the organisation — which can always smell the difference — trusts them less for it, not more.

- That delegation is detachment. We were taught that the senior leader sets direction and withdraws. But direction set in October is worthless in January, and the leader who has withdrawn has no feel for how fast the floor is shifting beneath the people still standing on it.

Each of these was defensible when the future resembled the past. None of them survives contact with a market that reprices overnight.

What Radical Uncertainty Actually Demands

The alternative is not recklessness, and it is not the cult of the heroic boss barking orders. It is a different and more honest stance toward not-knowing. The pattern that separates the leaders holding their organisations together this winter from those watching them fray comes down to a handful of reversals.

Decide on the direction of travel, not the destination. In stable times you commit to an outcome. In these, you commit to a bearing – a small number of things you will move toward and away from – and you accept that the destination will be renegotiated with reality every few weeks. This is not indecision. It is the only kind of decisiveness that survives contact with events.

Shorten the loop between seeing and acting. The organisations coping best have compressed the distance between the person who first notices something has changed and the person empowered to respond. The instinct in a crisis is to centralise, to pull every decision upward "until things settle." That instinct is precisely wrong: it lengthens the loop at the moment it most needs to be short.

Say what you do not know, out loud. The leader who admits the limits of their knowledge and then acts anyway earns a trust that the performer of certainty never will. Candour is not weakness here; it is the precondition for the whole organisation thinking clearly instead of guessing at what the top is hiding.

The defining skill of this moment is not forecasting better. It is acting well without a forecast – moving with conviction while holding your conclusions loosely enough to change them tomorrow.

Stay close to the floor. The most useful information in an uncertain organisation does not travel up through the reporting lines; it lives with the people closest to customers, to cash, to the work. The leader who has retreated into the strategy offsite has cut themselves off from the one instrument that still works.

The Uncomfortable Part

None of this can be learned in the calm and applied in the storm. That is the hard truth the development programmes never reckoned with. We taught leadership as a set of peacetime competencies – planning, alignment, stakeholder management, the measured cascade of decisions – and then acted surprised when those competencies buckled the first time the weather turned. A generation of capable people was certified as ready for a world that was quietly ceasing to exist.

The organisations that come through the months ahead in one piece will not be the ones with the best plan. There is no best plan; the assumptions underneath every plan are dissolving weekly. They will be the ones led by people willing to do the thing the old model forbids — to act before the picture is complete, to say plainly what they cannot see, to push decisions down toward the people who can actually make them, and to keep their own hands close enough to the work to feel it move.

The Charge

So here is the challenge to anyone leading through this winter. Stop measuring yourself against a plan you no longer believe. Stop performing a certainty the organisation can see through. Stop hoarding decisions at the top in the name of control. The model most of us were handed was built for a climate that has gone, and clinging to it now is not prudence — it is the most dangerous thing a leader can do. A leader's authority no longer rests on knowing the answer; it rests on being trusted to act well before the answer arrives. The sooner we admit the old model is finished, the sooner we can start leading in the world we actually have.